




Feedback survey for transnational social change networks


Feedback from project participants
May 2010

Were you the focal point in your network for this survey?


Yes No



How likely is it that you will take actions as a result of findings from the survey?



Compared to other evaluative activities that you have undertaken how useful/actionable was Keystone/iScale's contribution to your network?




What has been the most important benefit or insight that you got from the survey?




- The survey represented the main tool for monitoring and evaluating institutional performance, that is generally difficult to measure in an objective way. the findings of the survey will help us shaping corrective measures where needed and seriously reflecting on the impact of [our] work.
- The survey highlighted a few areas of weakness that we thought that we were doing relatively well and also revealed some lack of knowledge/information from partners
- What we can improve.
- The survey shows strengths and weaknesses of the net, and will enable us to push for some internal policies and efforts (accountability, communication, support to new members and expanding the network membership). It was also important to have comparative data to assess the net "out of the box" and in relation with similar organisations even if the particular structure of the network I am part of appears as quite singular (this was also a surprise for us).
- The way in which the partners are viewing the network
- Need for greater participation of members in decisions and activities of the network


Overall, how useful did you find the Keystone/iScale feedback survey for transnational social change networks?



How likely is your network to take part in this survey again in the future?



How strongly would you recommend that other transnational social change networks take part in future versions of this survey?



What could Keystone/iScale have done better?



- I think the work done by Keystone/iScale has been excellent, both in terms of process, facilitation and content-wise. I only have a methodological consideration: Being the surveys' analysis concentrated on the comparison between networks, a deeper analysis of individual surveys (e.g. by aggregating or disaggregating data of the various responses within the same survey) is missing.
- Some flexibility in the future to ensure that the comparisons are like on like, might require more organizations involved.
- Modified to meet our needs as discussed the fundraising question , which caused us problems.
- No suggestions

Any other comments?



- Very effective facilitation and communication. I wish to commend the work of the team that worked on this project and particularly Natalia for her good work.
- Great work by Keystone! Was great being a part of it.
- The prep process among several key contacts from the different net facilitated by Keystone to agree on a common methodology was very constructive and keen to have results that are relevant to all.
- Thank you!